WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America v.			ORDER OF DET	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
		Ricky Lee Davis	Case Number:	19-376MJ	
			S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been solve or both, as applicable.)	submitted to the Court. I conclude	
×	-	clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant nding trial in this case.			
×		reponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant ng trial in this case.			
			PART I FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1) 18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local of would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) to				
		a crime of violence as	defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
		an offense for which th	ne maximum sentence is life imprisonment or	death.	
		an offense for which a	maximum term of imprisonment of ten years	or more is prescribed in	
		a felony that was comr described in 18 U.S.C.	mitted after the defendant had been convicte. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or	d of two or more prior federal offenses local offenses.	
			s a minor victim or that involves the possess are defined in section 921), or any other da S.C. §2250.		
	(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.			
	(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.			
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) e will reasonably assure the safe not rebutted this presumption.	establish a rebuttable presumption that no co ety of (an)other person(s) and the community	ndition or combination of conditions . I further find that the defendant has	
			Alternative Findings		
	(1) 18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense				
		for which a maximum t	term of imprisonment of ten years or more is	prescribed in1	
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924	(c), 956(a), or 2332b.		
		under 18 U.S.C. 1581- prescribed.	1594, for which a maximum term of imprisor	nment of 20 years or more is	
		an offense involving a	minor victim under section	2 •	
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted	d the presumption established by finding 1 the the appearance of the defendant as requi	at no condition or combination of	


¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{{}^{2}} Insert \ as \ applicable \ 18 \ U.S.C. \ \S\$1201, \ 1591, 2241-42, \ 2244(a)(1), \ 2245, \ 2251, \ 2251A, \ 2252(a)(1), \ 2252(a)(2), \ 2252(a)(3, \ 2252(a)(4), \ 2260, \ 2421, \ 2422, \ 2423, \ or \ 2425.$

	Alternative Findings			
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.			
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.			
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).			
(4)				
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)			
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:			
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of			
				
The o	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report.			

³The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:19-mj-00376-MHB Document 6 Filed 07/15/19 Page 3 of 3



The defendant submitted the issue of detention. Defendant was on supervised release in CR-11-929-PHX-GMS while charged with the instant offense. Defendant has mental health and substance abuse issues.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Vichelle

DATED this 12th day of July, 2019

Michelle H. Burns United States Magistrate Judge